

**PATENT**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Application No.: 09/594,586  
Filing Date: June 15, 2000  
Applicant: Joseph M. CANNON et al.  
Group Art Unit: 2618  
Examiner: Marceau Milord  
Title: METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR CONFIGURING WIRELESS DEVICES  
Attorney Docket: 129250-000910/US

---

Customer Service Window  
Randolph Building  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

May 5, 2011

**Mail Stop Amendment**

**DECLARATION OF JOHN E. CURTIN, ESQ.**

SIR/MADAM:

I, John E. Curtin, Esq. hereby declare:

1. I am the attorney that was responsible for the drafting and filing of U.S. Patent Application No. 09/594,586 on June 15, 2000.
2. As set forth in the previously filed Declarations of the joint inventors, the subject matter of the presently rejected claims was conceived at least as early as January 3, 2000 and due diligence was exercised continuously from January 3, 2000 until June 15, 2000.

3. During the period between January 3, 2000 and June 15, 2000 I worked with all three joint inventors and completed activities that were directly related to attaining a reduction to practice of the subject matter of the claims, namely, by drafting and filing the instant patent application.

4. The previously filed Declarations of the joint inventors contain a copy of the original Invention Disclosure documents, dated January 3, 2000. The title of the original Invention Disclosure document is "Microelectronics Patent Committee Invention Submission". On the top right there is a textual block entitled "IP LAW USE". In this block the "Submission No.", "Date Received" and IP "Attorney" responsible for the submission are given. The Date Received is "1/3/00" or January 3, 2000. On the very top under the heading "Name(s) of Submitters" are listed the names of the three inventors.

5. Paragraph 3 on page 1 of the Invention Disclosure document (and elsewhere) sets forth a method for configuring a wireless device comprising accessing an Internet Protocol (IP)-based network (e.g., "manufacturer's Internet web page"), displaying settings of a separate wireless device (e.g., "entering their phone # or account # he or she would be able to customize their phone (i.e., address books, ring types, caller ID based options) at this remote location") and transmitting selected settings to a wireless service provider via the IP-based network (see reference to cellular service providers throughout the Invention Disclosure document) which, as set forth in the previously filed Declarations of the joint inventors, was conceived as early as January 3, 2000.

6. On February 1, 2000 the assignee of the present application and employer of the joint inventors at the time, Lucent Technologies, entered the Invention Disclosure document into its internal "ALF", intellectual property database.

7. On March 1, 2000 a copy of the Invention Disclosure document was mailed to my attention. Upon receiving the Information Disclosure document I began to draft the application which would later be filed as Application No. 09/594,586 ("instant application") based on the Information Disclosure document.

8. At the same time I was drafting the instant application I was also drafting and/or filing other U.S. patent applications for the same assignee. For example, on March 17, 2000 I filed U.S. Patent Application No. 09/527,678 and on May 5, 2000 I filed U.S. Patent Application No. 09/565,388.

9. On May 25, 2000 I sent a draft of the instant application to the joint inventors for review.

10. On May 31, 2000 I sent a revised draft of the instant application to the joint inventors for review.

11. On June 5 and 9, 2000 I received additional comments regarding the revised draft of the instant application from one of the joint inventors and an in-house patent attorney employed by the assignee.

12. During this time period I was also drafting another U.S. patent application for the same assignee, namely, an application that would shortly be filed as U.S. Patent Application No. 09/609,118 on June 30, 2000.

13. On June 15, 2000 I finalized the instant application and filed a finalized version in the US. Patent & Trademark Office.

14. Through the exercise of continuous due diligence from January 3, 2000 until June 15, 2000, the inventors worked with me to attain a reduction to practice of the subject matter set forth in the Invention Disclosure and the claims.

15. I further state that all statements made herein to my own knowledge are true and that all statements made herein on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment or both under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such any willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any registration resulting therefrom.

/John E. Curtin, Esq./

Date: May 5, 2011

John E. Curtin, Esq.